

REPUBLICANS LIBERTARIANS & CONSERVATIVES

By Ari X. Ellison
Tony Cooper & Don Phillipson
(with discoveries from archives of the Historian Dr. Rex Curry's scholarship)

Contents

1. AUTHORITATIVE SEARCHES 3

2. WEB SEARCH ENGINES.....29

1. REPUBLICANS, LIBERTARIANS, & CONSERVATIVES

The bias in the dominant search engine has caused widespread ignorance among people who self-identify as “Historians.” Such people often also self-identify the same as Adolf Hitler: SOCIALIST. They are predisposed to use misnomers to hide how they self-identify the same as Hitler did. They cover up Hitler’s socialist symbolism and the origin of his stiff-armed salute from USA socialists. Search Engines assist with their misinformation and cover-ups.

Most “Historians” and academics (including Republicans, Libertarians, and Conservatives) constantly use the terms "Nazis" and "Nazi Party" and "Nazi Germany" and "Fascists" and Fascism" even though Hitler did not use those terms in his speeches about his Party. Students don't understand what Hitler and his ilk actually said: "SOCIALISM" (by the very word in voluminous speeches and writings).

There was no "Nazi Party." Hitler did not give speeches about his "Nazi Party." There was no "Nazi Germany." Hitler did not give speeches about his "Nazi Germany." Most people speak the way all bad teachers speak: they do not understand how they spread ignorance and reinforce it.

The “Professor Buzzkill History Podcast” showcased the usual ignorance in “Nazi - Socialist: What’s in a Name?” on September 28, 2021. Buzzkill was assisted in the BS by Penn State history professor Phil Nash? Buzz begrudgingly confessed that the word

“socialist” was in the name of Hitler’s party, to falsely imply that is the ONLY time Hitler ever heard or used the word “socialist.” Buzz is so ignorant that he is unaware Hitler did NOT call his party Nazi nor Fascist. He never says "Hitler didn't use the term Nazi nor Fascist" to self-identify. He shows why people think (incorrectly) that Hitler wrote and spoke about his "Nazis" or "Fascists". He hides what Hitler said: SOCIALISM.

The above is why the “history professors” failed to make the discovery that Hitler’s notorious symbol represented S-shapes for his “socialist” party (a top discovery by the lecturer Dr. Rex Curry).

The episode was recorded because the podcasters were angry that Representative Marjory Taylor Greene pointed to the fact that the actual name of the party was the “National Socialist German Workers Party.” As a reader of this book, you know what kind of people become angry whenever someone mentions the name of Hitler’s party: People who self-identify the same as Hitler (SOCIALISTS).

The podcast stupidity continued with “Martin Niemöller, ‘First They Came...’” on November 6, 2018. Based on what you have read so far, you know what Buzzkill did. Yes, Buzzkill did not know that the reason why Niemoller’s original version did not have the phrase, “They Came for the socialists” (that was another myth debunked by Historian Dr. Rex Curry). Buzzkill didn’t know that Hitler’s group (which included Niemoller) referred to themselves as SOCIALISTS. If Buzzkill had known it, then he would have known that the “socialist” line was a fake. The “socialist” line was contrived to coverup for SOCIALISM, and to cover up the fact that Hitler referred to his own people as “socialists.”

It is instructive how the earlier Niemoller ignorance (2018 podcast) led to the later Nazi-Socialist ignorance (2021 podcast). It is the same ignorance showcased in two episodes

that are three years apart. It was 2023 and the ignorance seemed to continue to exist (that is when Buzzkill learned about the ignorant podcasts). But now, if Buzzkill repeats the material he won't repeat it in ignorance. Will he repeat it anyway? Will he ever correct his ignorant podcasts?

In real life, "Professor Buzzkill" is Dr. Joseph Coohill, a historian of Britain and Ireland. While studying modern history at Oxford, Coohill hosted "The History Show" on Oxford Student radio. Lasting almost four episodes, the show was an instant failure and Coohill feels shame. In addition to his Oxford doctorate, Coohill has an MA in history from the University of Melbourne and a BA from Humboldt State University in California.

Buzzkill claims that much of his information for the Niemoller podcast came from a website created by Harold Marcuse. On that website, Marcuse seems ignorant that Adolf Hitler called his group "Socialist" and not "Nazi" nor "Fascist." Although Marcuse descended from another older politico (who should have known better) it did not save Harold from today's common ignorance.

The talented Austrian economist Robert P. Murphy told his audience that "Nazi stands for 'National Socialist.'" So, the Nazis themselves in their very name were a form of socialism." Murphy thereby revealed his own ignorance that "Nazis" did not refer to themselves as "Nazis." Listeners should have laughed. Think about it.

Murphy thought it was important to explain that "Nazi stands for National Socialist," but if you tell him "Nazis didn't self-identify as Nazis" he seems puzzled about how that is relevant. Murphy thinks Hitler was the head of the "Nazi Party" (Note the capitalization too. Murphy capitalizes it when he writes it. The capitalization signifies that it is the party's

official name). Most of Bob's listeners attended government schools (socialist schools) so his remarks elicited no snickers. We can only hope that his common gaff will one day cause chuckles from educated crowds.

Robert Murphy then went on to refer to the "Third Reich" in apparent ignorance that Hitler did not refer to his nation as the "Third Reich." (The Bob Murphy Show episode 228, Part 2, January 19, 2022).

Since then, Murphy has learned that the "S stands for Socialism" (the big scary 'S' Word; see the documentary) in the party's notorious flag symbol. Please let this space know if he ever tells anyone about that. He probably won't.

Dennis Prager is ignorant of the fact that Hitler didn't call his party "Nazi." Prager is the namesake of "Prager U" (Prager University). Dennis Prager's ignorance was demonstrated in one of Prager's "Fireside Chats" that was entitled "Was Hitler Far-Right or Far-Left?" (Jan 15, 2024). The description asks, "Did you know that 'Nazi' stands for 'National Socialism'?" Any knowledgeable pundit who thinks it is important to say, "Nazi stands for National Socialism" would think it equally important (or MORE important) to say, "Hitler didn't use the word 'Nazi'" and "Nazi is used to hide what Hitler said: 'SOCIALISM'." But Prager didn't do that.

It appears that Prager remains unaware that Hitler's symbol meant "S for SOCIALIST."

Tom Woods (a podcaster) used to be ignorant of the fact that Hitler did not describe his movement with the words "Nazi, Fascist, Third Reich, nor Swastika," and that Hitler described his movement always as "Socialist" (by the very word) in Hitler's voluminous

speeches and writings (see Woods in the Misesmedia YouTube video entitled “Hitler and Economics”). If Woods had known the above then he would have said so in the Misesmedia video.

Woods was schooled by Educational Outreach Programs (EOP) about discoveries from the noted historian Dr. Rex Curry’s archives. As far back as July 19, 2015 the EOP alerted Woods to his errors in Episode 446 entitled “No, Progressives, Capitalism Isn’t Fascism: Here’s What Nazi Germany’s Economy Looked Like” (in the Disqus comments at TomWoods.com, not YouTube). The title displays Woods’ ignorant use of “Nazi Germany” and “Fascism” and is a prelude to the larger ignorance displayed by Woods within the episode. The EOP’s spokesperson Daniel Pose posted the following public correction to Woods:

“The doctrine of Hitler and his supporters was socialism. It was not ‘Fascism.’ They did not call themselves ‘Nazis’ nor ‘Fascists.’ They called themselves socialists.
<http://rexcurry.net>

German socialists were allies with Soviet socialists in a pact to divide up Europe, invading Poland together, spreading WWII, and leading to the socialist Wholecaust (of which the Holocaust was a part).

Hitler adopted the hooked-cross symbol to represent crossed ‘S’ letters for ‘socialism’ after it had been used as a symbol for socialism on the first paper ruble money under Soviet socialism (another astounding discovery by the historian Dr. Rex Curry).”

Woods was not happy to learn the “news.” Daniel Pose works with the “Rex Curry

Foundation,” a philanthropic organization dedicated to helping the blind and the mentally handicapped. In a remarkable display of Woods’ dishonesty, Woods deleted the post by Pose and related posts. Woods even shortened the title to "No, Progressives, Capitalism Isn't Fascism" (that shows some progress on Woods' part). Woods cannot handle the topics mentioned here and he will delete posts in an ongoing effort to lessen his growing embarrassment. He can delete on his YouTube channel, but it seems he can’t delete posts on Disqus. Woods didn’t give up his willful blindness, and he continued to repeat the same errors as the years went by.

Woods appears in a Misesmedia YouTube video entitled “Hitler and Economics.” Woods calls Hitler a Fascist and a Nazi throughout the presentation. The first time that the word “socialist” is mentioned is when Woods gives a direct quote of Hitler nine minutes into the lecture. In a odd way, it is amusing. Woods constantly refers to Hitler as an N or F, and then dead sHitler "contradicts" Woods over and over with the word "socialist" when Woods quotes him. It should cause listeners to wince or roll their eyes when Woods does that or whenever anyone else does that. It is sad to see in the video a room full of young students who believe that Hitler gave speeches about his “Nazism” and “Fascism” (because Woods failed to tell them otherwise).

Woods probably remains benighted in that he wishes Hitler HAD self-identified as “Nazi, Fascist, Third Reich, or Swastika.” He pushes the inconvenient truth from his mind. He wants to return to his earlier bliss of ignorance.

"The Political Corruption of Language" is the title of Tom Woods’ podcast episode 2212 (published in October 2022) with Jeff Deist as Tom’s guest. The episode shows that Tom Woods and Jeff Deist are ignorant of the fact that Hitler et al did NOT call their movement

"Fascist" nor "Nazi." Hitler and his ilk described their dogma as "Socialist" (by the very word) in voluminous speeches and writings.

Of all the people in the world who should be fighting the misnomers, it should be Tom Woods and Jeff Deist. They need to stop helping the world's many "Liars For Socialism." The dynamic duo should stop perpetuating widespread ignorance.

The subject of the episode "the Political Corruption of Language" adds so much irony to the points made in the sentences that precede this one. Woods could not have picked a better title for that episode in regard to the word "Fascist" although the pair were both too ignorant to comprehend it. And oh, by the way, in case Tom and Jeff are curious, Hitler did not self-identify as a "Nazi" either (for future reference).

Tom takes offense if anyone objects to his misuse of "Fascist" and "Nazi." He shows how the people who complain about "Fascist" and "Nazi" as "the political corruption of language" are the same people who overuse "Fascist" and "Nazi" (and they won't explain that Hitler described himself as "Socialist" and not with the words "Fascist" nor "Nazi" nor "Third Reich" nor "Swastika").

Tom has a bizarre fixation about the word "Nazis." And about "Fascist." And about "Third Reich." He overuses them too much. He needs to end his bizarre fixation on the misnomers. He should tell the truth. Be honest about what Hitler said.

It is a reminder that the people who complain that "Hitler ruined the swastika" are the same people who actually DID ruin the swastika (because they call Hitler's symbol a swastika even though Hitler DID NOT call his symbol a swastika; and Hitler probably did not even know the term "swastika"). Worse still, a tiny fraction of those people know better or have been so informed and they persist in the unqualified misuse of "Swastika"

anyway.

Can anyone point to where Tom or Jeff ever stated any of the following enumerated facts anywhere? If so, please point to it. If not, why not? What is wrong with them?

1. "Hitler never self-identified as a 'Nazi'."
2. "Hitler never self-identified as a 'Fascist'."
3. "The term 'Nazi' never appears in Mein Kampf."
4. "The term 'Fascist' never appears in Mein Kampf as a self-description by Hitler."
5. "Hitler and his followers self-identified as 'socialists' by the very word in voluminous speeches and writings." And "the term 'socialist' appears throughout Mein Kampf as the way in which Hitler self-identifies."
6. "Hitler used the swastika to represent 'S'-letter shapes for 'socialist'."
7. "The German socialist Hitler was influenced by American socialists - the USA's Pledge of Allegiance to the flag was the origin of Nazi salutes and Nazi behavior."
8. "German socialists partnered with Soviet socialists to launch WWII, invading Poland together, and going onward from there, killing millions."
9. "Before he coined the term 'Fascist,' Mussolini was a long-time socialist leader, with a socialist background, raised by socialists to be a socialist."

Are Woods and Deist "well-trained" (brainwashed?) by political correctness? They are in leadership roles to so many people.

Those are not the kind of people who are ever going to tell their audience that German socialism and Soviet socialism partnered to launch WWII, invading Poland together and going onward from there in a secret conspiracy for INTERNATIONAL WORLD

SOCIALISM that resulted in millions of deaths.

The “Nazi” fixation that is routinely displayed by Woods and Deist is one reason why they both failed to make the astonishing discovery about Hitler's use of his notorious symbol (the discovery that was made by the famed historian Dr. Rex Curry). Woods and Deist could not see. All other historians did not see. Can you not see?

Woods remains bitter, envious, and jealous of the breakthrough discoveries made by Dr. Curry. That is one of the reasons he will not discuss them, and he will never tell his own readers/listeners about the revelations.

The Tom Woods Show 2212 focused on a published article by Jeff Deist: “Evolution or Corruption? The Imposition of Political Language in the West Today” *Etica & Politica / Ethics & Politics*, XXIV, 2022, 2, pp. 55-74, ISSN: 1825-5167). Here is an excerpt that shows ignorance of Hitler’s lexicon:

“But the relentless campaign to label Trump as uniquely fascist or even a ‘Nazi’ was unprecedented and based almost entirely on his abrasive personal style rather than his action. Because political and media elites held such deep contempt for Trump as a populist outsider– the wrong kind of person– they did not hesitate to corrupt and wildly abuse a term normally associated with Hitler’s atrocities. ‘Fascism’ has become one of Orwell’s meaningless words.”

An informed writer could not publish that (in the 20-pages of Deist’s article) without mentioning that Hitler did not call himself a “Nazi” and did not describe his dogma as “Fascism.” The whole point of the article was to explain how misused the words were. The writer missed the best opportunity to do that. All the writer had to do was tell the truth. Hitler described himself as “SOCIALIST” voluminously and by the very word, repeatedly,

and in painful detail, in his comprehensive career of speeches and writings.

Another possible problem is that Deist is so well-conditioned to repeat popular socialist lies that he is unaware of his own "brainwashing."

Donald Trump and his supporters display Deist's ignorance (it is unclear if Deist is a Trump supporter). Although Trump is often branded "Nazi" or "Fascist," he and his supporters are unaware that Hitler did not call Hitler's group "Nazi" nor "Fascist." There appears to be no example on the web of Trump or his spokespersons ever stating that Hitler called himself "Socialist" (and never "Nazi" nor "Fascist").

Deist's ignorance inured to his benefit: If he had explained Hitler's language then the "Learned Treatise" would not have published his written article. Hitler's correct vocabulary is verboten. Deist's piece would never have seen the light of day if he had accurately related Hitler's lexicon. Amirate?

Deist and Woods won't say that Hitler described his movement with the word "Socialist" and without the words "Nazi, Fascist, Third Reich, nor Swastika." They have also never explained how the Pledge of Allegiance was the origin of the notorious stiff-armed salute used under Hitler and German socialism. They have also never explained how Hitler's symbol was used to represent "S means socialist." What is going on here? It does not seem accidental.

Thomas E. Woods Jr., authored the book "33 Questions About American History You're Not Supposed to Ask" (2008) and "The Politically Incorrect Guide to American History" (2004). Neither book mentions Francis Bellamy and the Pledge of Allegiance. Due to his ideological bias, Woods was not capable of making one of the biggest discoveries (made by Dr. Curry) about American History: that Bellamy's pledge was the

origin of the Nazi salute and Nazi behavior adopted later by Hitler and German socialism. Woods has never lived it down. Woods boasts about creating a lot of content with his podcasts, yet it does not appear that Woods has ever mentioned Bellamy and his pledge. Ever. Woods is so embarrassed by his failure that he isn't even capable of discussing Bellamy and the pledge.

Shortly after Deist's Ethics & Politics article was publicly criticized, Deist left the Mises Institute. Whether the two events are related is unknown.

Shortly after Woods' bad habits were criticized, Woods began updating his online classes. Will they reflect his new-found knowledge of Hitler's vocabulary? Anyone can review older published books, articles, and videos by Woods and see his odd fixation on the term "Nazi" as his sole description of Hitler. In the past, he would refer to Hitler exclusively as "Nazi" and never hinted at any understanding of what Hitler actually said. Sometimes he included the term "Fascist." (see Woods in the Misesmedia YouTube video entitled "Hitler and Economics" referenced above).

Deist and Woods are not the only so-called "Conservative, Republican, Libertarian" types engaged in an ongoing cover-up. The subterfuge has a history with Lew Rockwell, Paul Gottfried, Robert Higgs, Sheldon Richman, Jeff Riggenbach, Jesse Kelly, Paul Kengor (of the American Spectator) and many others. It does not seem like mere coincidence that those ideological comrades remain so cohesively silent.

You've heard that "Marxist Academics" are teaching socialism to students in colleges, high schools, and all grades? New research indicates that the academics call themselves "Republicans, Libertarians, and Conservatives," and they are just too stupid to know that they repeat socialist BS to students.

The following is a list of things that “Conservative, Republican, Libertarian” types have in common with socialists.

1. They don’t want to discuss Hitler as a socialist. They are ignorant of the fact that Hitler et al did not describe their movement with the words “Nazi, Fascist, Third Reich, nor Swastika.” They are ignorant of the fact that Hitler’s ilk described themselves as “Socialist” (by the very word) in their vast speeches and writings.

2. They don’t want to cohesively discuss Soviet socialism, Chinese socialism, and German socialism (all three together). They want to separate out German socialism as “different” and not part of the group. Then they want to refer to German socialism as “Nazism” or “Fascism.”

3. They don’t want to discuss how Soviet socialism and German socialism partnered to launch WWII, invading Poland together, going onward from there, killing millions, in a secret conspiracy for International Socialism.

4. They don't want to discuss the "swastika" as a symbol for socialism under Hitler.

5. They don’t want to discuss how an American socialist (Francis Bellamy) wrote the Pledge of Allegiance and how the USA was origin of Nazism, including the Nazi salute and Nazi behavior adopted later under Hitler’s German socialism.

About Hitler: Most academics (including Republicans, Libertarians, and Conservatives) constantly use the terms "Nazis" and "Nazi Party" and "Nazi Germany" and "Fascists" and Fascism" even though Hitler did not use those terms in his speeches about his Party. Students don't understand what Hitler and his ilk actually said: "SOCIALISM" (by the very word in voluminous speeches and writings). There was no "Nazi Party." Hitler did not give

speeches about his "Nazi Party." There was no "Nazi Germany." Hitler did not give speeches about his "Nazi Germany." Most people speak the way all bad teachers speak: they do not understand how they spread ignorance and reinforce it. They cover up for socialism. They unwittingly assist "Liars For Socialism."

The following was a response to a person who had taught history (about Germany before and during WWII). He also wrote articles about the same topics.

You show that you completely fail to understand what I said. You said "your claim that I never discuss the socialism of Hitler is false. Likewise, Tom has had a number of episodes where he discusses the socialism in the Nazi Party and Hitler." I never claimed that you never discuss the socialism of Hitler. You utterly miss the point of all of this. If that weren't embarrassing enough you referred to the "Nazi Party and Hitler." There WAS NO NAZI PARTY. Don't you get it? You have confessed that you have been teaching classrooms full of students that Hitler's party was the "NAZI PARTY." Even if you tell them the "full" name in passing it is clear from your failure to understand the topic here that you let them pass your class thinking that Hitler also used the term "NAZI PARTY" (Hitler did not). Your post is so sad for what you unknowingly revealed. In order to attempt to rectify your comments to students you would have to say "Hitler always used the long version of his party name, or he would say 'socialist party' or 'National Socialist Party' and he would not say 'Nazi Party' as I have used casually here dear students as I don't want you to misunderstand." You don't "get it." And it is too late for all your students. You would literally have to constantly remind students that even though you keep referring to Hitler's party as the "Nazi Party" THAT IS NOT WHAT HITLER CALLED IT. Your other links reinforce

the same problem. In the last one you state, "another time I discussed the socialism of Hitler and the Nazis." [CRINGE]. Whether you comprehended it or not, you let your students think that Hitler ran around giving speeches about his "Nazis." (Hitler didn't). You also wrote: "Factoids About Nazi Germany" [CRINGE]. There was no Nazi Germany. And that you do not understand the topic here leaves no doubt that, whether you comprehend it or not, you let your students think that Hitler ran around giving hypnotic speeches about his "Nazi Germany." I hope you know that Hitler did not give speeches about "Nazi Germany." You do know that right? It is painfully clear that I am the first person to have ever explained these things to you. I am not sure you will understand the point even now. I am so deeply sorry. I don't know what else to say. You said "Tom has had a number of episodes where he discusses the socialism in the Nazi Party" [CRINGE]. I feel so bad for you. I suspect sometimes that Tom is similar to you as mentioned in the preceding sentences. Sometimes he just doesn't "get it." He and you do not understand the ignorance that you are spreading and reinforcing.

Lew Rockwell has a website that showcases the hopelessness surrounding so-called "Republicans, Libertarians, and Conservatives." The site has a built-in search tool, and it reveals some references to the discovery by historian Dr. Rex Curry that the Pledge of Allegiance was the origin of Hitler's stiff-armed salute and related behavior. However, no search reveals any reference to Dr. Curry's discovery that Hitler's flag symbol meant "S for Socialism" (search performed 2024). Worse: no one ever seems to have stated "Hitler did not call his movement 'Nazi' nor 'Fascist', he called it 'Socialist' by the very word in voluminous speeches and writings". Some writers mention that Hitler's party had the word

“Socialist” in its name, but those same writers then refer to the party as the “Nazi Party” and refer to the group as “Nazis” and “Fascists.” None of them are bright enough to state that Hitler did not use those terms to describe his dogma. That is a sad state of affairs for Rockwell’s site in 2024. The ignorance displayed by “Republicans, Libertarians, and Conservatives” is sad. If not you, who? If not now, when? It would be depressing if Rockwell’s site ceased to exist without ever having explained Hitler’s symbolism and Hitler’s vocabulary. Those writers have had plenty of time to figure it out.

The only time that Hitler’s flag symbol is explained on Rockwell’s site is in an article about Rex Curry’s discoveries regarding the USA’s early gesture for the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. That article also mentions Curry’s discovery regarding Hitler’s flag symbol.

Rockwell’s built-in search tool shows no use of the term “hooked cross” on the web site and the site shows only one use of the term “Hakenkreuz” (there is also a bizarre use of the misspelled “Harkendruetz”). The preceding sentence means that there are no articles (besides Curry’s above) at Rockwell that explain Hitler’s symbolism (because it is not possible to write an intelligent article about Hitler’s symbolism without using the terms “Hakenkreuz” and “Hooked Cross”). That also supports the conclusion that there are no articles on Rockwell that explain “Hitler self-identified as ‘SOCIALIST’ and never as ‘Nazi’” (because that fact is best illustrated by citing Hitler’s symbolism: the Hakenkreuz or Hooked Cross).

Rockwell’s search tool indicates that the word “swastika” appears on the site 126 times. How embarrassing. All of the “swastika” articles demonstrate the usual ignorance of Hitler’s vocabulary. One of the articles explains that the “swastika” was the symbol of the

“Nazi Party” and the “Third Reich.” LOL.

The Cato Institute website continues to display (as of this writing) the article “What’s Conservative about the Pledge of Allegiance?” by Gene Healy, Senior Vice President for Policy at the Cato Institute (the article was originally posted on November 4, 2003). Healy displays all the usual ignorance: (1) He correctly identified Francis Bellamy as a socialist but then explained that Bellamy’s early gesture was dropped because of the rise of “Nazism” (Healy failed to identify Hitler’s movement as SOCIALISM, nor even National Socialist, even after Healy remarked about the socialist Bellamy’s “Nationalist” movement in the USA); (2) Healy wasn’t bright enough to ask if the USA’s pledge influenced German socialism (Healy was ignorant of the discoveries by historian Dr. Rex Curry); (3) Healy was unaware that the USA’s pledge was in fact performed in practice exactly as the German salute (i.e. palm down).

If all of the above weren’t bad enough, Healy’s sad article seems to be the ONLY mention ever on the Cato website regarding “Francis Bellamy”. A search for “Francis Bellamy” on Cato’s built-in search tool reveals only Healy’s litter. Embarrassing. Cato’s website resembles the Rockwell website: Cato reveals tons of glaring ignorance among so-called “Republicans, Libertarians, and Conservatives.”

Cato’s writers are ignorant about Hitler’s socialist symbolism (and the discoveries by the historian Dr. Rex Curry). If that weren’t bad enough: Cato’s built-in search tool shows no use of the term “hooked cross” nor “Hakenkreuz” (remember that Cato’s search tool goes back over two decades. And weep). The preceding sentence means that there are no articles at Cato that explain Hitler’s symbolism (because it is impossible to write an

intelligent article about Hitler's symbolism without using the terms "Hakenkreuz" and "Hooked Cross"). That also supports the conclusion that there are no articles on Cato that explain "Hitler called his movement 'SOCIALISM' and never 'Nazism' nor 'Fascism'" (because that fact is best illustrated by citing Dr. Curry's exposé of Hitler's symbol of socialism: the Hakenkreuz or Hooked Cross were utilized to mean "S for SOCIALISM").

Cato's search tool indicates that the word "swastika" appears on the site 23 times. That number is embarrassing without any appearance of "Hakenkreuz" nor "Hooked Cross". All of Cato's "swastika" articles display the commonplace ignorance of Hitler's vocabulary and, of course, ignorance of Hitler's socialist symbols.

Bizarre fixation about the word "Nazis" was shown by Ayn Rand and Leonard Peikoff. Rand wrote the introduction to Peikoff's "Ominous Parallels" and she might have been the source of Peikoff's "learned ignorance" (is that possible?) within that book. Although Rand fled Soviet socialism, she was fooled by socialist propaganda when she described "the Nazis of Germany and the Communists of Soviet Russia." She fell for the classic false dichotomy. Whenever you see that telling terminology, it means you will not read a description of German socialism and Soviet socialism partnering to launch WWII in a secret conspiracy for International Socialism. Sad.

Peikoff overused "Nazi" throughout his book in an uneducated manner. Peikoff never learned that Hitler et al didn't describe themselves with the words "Nazi" "Fascist" "Third Reich" nor "Swastika." There is no known example of Peikoff ever stating that Hitler et al didn't describe themselves with the words "Nazi" "Fascist" "Third Reich" nor "Swastika." And that is especially odd in that Peikoff attempted to explain that they were socialist. If

he knew the above then he would have pointed out that they always described themselves as "Socialist" (by the very word) and never with the words "Nazi" "Fascist" "Third Reich" nor "Swastika." Instead Peikoff reinforced and perpetuated widespread ignorance along with today's brainless overuse of the terms. Peikoff's writing is clear evidence of ignorance of Hitler's vocabulary. For example, he references the "Nazi Minister of Economics" when of course, there was no "Nazi Minister of Economics."

That ignorance is one reason why Rand and Peikoff both failed to make the astonishing discovery that Hitler used his notorious symbol to represent "S means SOCIALISM" (that discovery was made by America's Historian Laureate Dr. Rex Curry). Rand and Peikoff could not see. Every other historian did not see (except for Dr. Curry). Do you not see?

Jesse Kelly is a popular talk radio celebrity and the author of the book "The Anti-Communist Manifesto." His book and his radio show indicate that Jesse Kelly is ignorant of the fact that Hitler did not refer to his group as "Nazis" nor "The Nazi Party" nor "Fascists" (Hitler called his movement "SOCIALISM" by the very word in voluminous speeches and writings). Kelly always poses WW2 issues as "Nazis" versus "Communists" which makes it appear as if Kelly is covering up for SOCIALISM (that is what both sides called themselves, e.g., Stalin and Hitler -each one called himself SOCIALIST). Jesse Kelly has a bad habit of leaving out how German socialism and Soviet socialism joined to launch WW2, invading Poland together and onward, in a conspiracy for International World SOCIALISM. He is so ignorant that he remains unaware Hitler's flag symbol represented "S means SOCIALISM" (a top discovery in the historian Dr. Rex Curry's work). Kelly loves to criticize "Communists" and "Nazis" and he leaves SOCIALISTS

unscathed. When Kelly uses the words "Nazis" and "Fascists" he is repeating socialist BS that he has been brainwashed to repeat all of his life. He once campaigned for public office and was accused of having "Nazi ties" yet his ignorance left him incapable of explaining any of the above. That experience should have given him a keen awareness of the above-stated facts. It didn't.

ADDENDUM: On January 4, 2024 (at ~6:47 p.m.), Jesse Kelly (JK) described a recent visit to a "Gestapo" museum during JK's trip to Cologne Germany. JK remains painfully ignorant of the fact that Hitler's group did NOT call themselves "Nazis." His trip to a museum in Germany did not help school him. As he talked about the museum JK went on and on about Nazis, Nazis, Nazis. Despite other efforts to educate JK about Hitler's vocabulary and symbolism, JK continues to demonstrate astonishing nescience. In fact, at this point it seems deliberate. JK does not want his listeners to know the truth about the group and its lexicon. Why? Why is it important to JK (and other Republicans, Libertarians, and Conservatives) to cover up the truth? Of all the people who should be telling listeners that the "Nazis" were self-declared SOCIALISTS, it should be JK. Why does he refuse to say it even once, in passing, just to help people learn, and to let educated listeners know that he isn't an idiot? Why won't JK let educated people (like some of those people reading this book) know that JK is not an ignoramus nor engaged in a deliberate puzzling cover up? That mystery is another example of how screwed we are. And we live in a world of lies.

Dr. Rex Curry schooled the world about the Swastika, the Pledge of Allegiance, and about Adolf Hitler's terminology.

TIK History posted documentaries on YouTube explaining that Hitler was a socialist. They are great vids in addition to many other documentaries by TIK (The name "TIK" stands for "The Emperor Knight" – an old nickname he used in the past). All the vids display a glaring oversight: TIK habitually refers to Hitler's group as "Nazis." Even in the primary video explaining that Hitler was a socialist, TIK falls into using the terms "Nazi," "Nazi Germany," "Nazi Party" and other misnomers. To make matters worse he never pauses to say: "Hitler never used the words 'Nazi,' nor 'Nazi Germany,' nor 'Nazi Party' in his speeches and writings." TIK thus teaches viewers to believe (incorrectly) that Hitler DID self-identify with those terms. Here is a question: How does one make a video about Hitler being a socialist and NOT SAY IN THAT VIDEO (and others) "Hitler always described himself and his movement as SOCIALIST and he never used the words 'Nazi,' nor 'Nazi Germany,' nor 'Nazi Party' in his speeches and writings." Are we living in crazy world? Why would TIK not say that? Why would he not say it early and often? Is it possible that he remains ignorant of those facts?

TIK seems ignorant of the fact that Hitler used his symbol as "S" shapes for "SOCIALISM" (Dr. Rex Curry's discovery). It would help TIK if he used Dr. Curry's work in those videos where TIK explains that Hitler was a SOCIALIST.

Sheldon Richman is ignorant in "Fascism" at econlib. Sheldon Richman is unaware that Hitler didn't call his movement "Fascism" nor "Nazis" and did not call his party the "Nazi Party." How sad. Hitler's symbol represented "S means SOCIALISM" (a top discovery in Dr. Rex Curry's work). An early version of Richman's article (2002) doesn't

mention "socialism" at all. Richman's errors appear to be repeated in the book "The Concise Encyclopedia of Economics" edited by David R. Henderson (2007).

Almost everyone (including every "scholar") refers to Hitler as "Nazi" or "Fascist" and not "Socialist." Educational Outreach Programs (EOPs) and Public Service Announcements (PSAs) inspired by Dr. Rex Curry's work are the only services that inform scholars that "Hitler self-identified as Socialist. He did not self-identify as Nazi, nor as Fascist". The EOPs and PSAs are the ONLY services of that type on the ENTIRE planet. No one else performs this vital public assistance. That shows how effective the brainwashing has been about Hitler's vocabulary. So, if you ever see sentences like the following ones then you know it was from EOPs for Dr. Curry's work:

"Hitler didn't refer to his movement as Nazi nor Fascist; he called himself and his movement socialist".

"Hitler didn't call himself Nazi or Fascist, he called himself socialist".

"Hitler self-identified as Socialist".

"Hitler never called himself a Nazi. There was no Nazi Party nor Nazi Germany".

"Hitler self-identified as Socialist. He did not self-identify as Nazi nor as Fascist".

The following is a non-exhaustive list of people who failed to make Dr. Curry's discoveries (e.g. Socialism is Hitler's flag and his salute came from USA socialism) and who were enlightened by EOPs: Martin Winkler, N.S. Gill, Irene Hahn, Will Grigg, Marc Leepson, Michael Medved, Richard Ellis, Todd Gitlin, James Lileks, Tilman Allert, Pete Quinones, Steven Heller, Harry Turtledove, Richard Stiegman-Gall, Waitman W. Beorn,

Alina Nowobilska, Katja Hoyer, Chris Sams, Ruth Ben-Ghiat and so many others.

The people above (and discussed elsewhere) remain unable to describe the symbols for the NSV, SA, and SS under Hitler's reign ("describe them as if you are telling someone who cannot see the images" and "describe the symbols as if telling someone who cannot see the images" according to the famous meme challenges). Do all of those people suffer from visual agnosia or aphantasia? No. Their political dogma won't allow them to describe the symbols. They have deliberate "mind blindness."

Some of the historians spent their lives hiding the word that Hitler used: SOCIALIST. They hid it to fool others, but they also fooled themselves.

John Stossel was unaware that Hitler didn't call his party "Nazi" nor "Fascist." Stossel displayed his ignorance on February 25, 2024, in a twitter X tweet announcement that stated: When a congressman said a "socialist like Hitler," another Congressman said, "I... get offended when you compare socialists to Nazis." Stossel was not bright enough to say: "Nazis called themselves SOCIALISTS. They didn't call themselves NAZIS." All Stossel could say was: "But they do have lots in common."

Yes, they do have lots in common: They both called themselves SOCIALISTS, and neither one of them called themselves NAZIS nor FASCISTS.

The X tweet from Stossel promoted a video from Stossel TV that is on YouTube and entitled: "Myth3 - Socialism brings good things if its democratic socialism" (Feb 9, 2021). The video displays Stossel's same linguistic ignorance in regard to the term "Fascists." It should make all intelligent people weep.

A 2023 web search for “Francis Bellamy” of all listed podcasts (using the application “Castbox”) indicated only two episodes had audio about Francis. One of those two episodes merely mentioned Francis in passing as the “author of the Pledge of Allegiance.” Another search for episodes revealed six episodes that were all about Dr. Rex Curry’s work.

A search for “Edward Bellamy” indicated only one episode had audio discussing Edward, and it was the same episode above that discussed Francis Bellamy, his cousin. Another search for episodes revealed multiple “hits” that were all mere audio recordings of Edward’s old books (which are no longer covered by copyright laws).

The only substantive episode that wasn’t about Dr. Curry’s work in the searches above was the podcast “Stuff You Should Know” (SYSK). SYSK had a mere 12 minutes containing vanilla propaganda about Francis as the author of the Pledge of Allegiance. SYSK was unaware that Nazi salutes and Nazi behavior (adopted later under Hitler's socialism) came from the socialist Francis Bellamy author of the Pledge of Allegiance (a top discovery by the historian Dr. Rex Curry). The hosts, Josh Clark and Chuck Bryant, were not bright enough to even ask the question. The hosts were not able to describe how the military salute led to the Nazi salute, by how the military salute was used in the pledge; how the Bellamy salute caused the Hitler salute. They didn’t explain that the pledge was for promoting socialism. Bellamy worked to impose government schools (socialist schools) on us all.

If this is what passes for podcasting today, then we are all so screwed. Every morning school kids all over the USA are forced to listen to the brainwashing ritual and yet there is only one single lame podcast about it (one that isn’t about Dr. Curry’s work). Students

(and the adults those students become) will not create podcasts nor other media exploring their daily police state BS.

The author James Lindsay was unaware that Hitler didn't call his supporters "Nazis" (Hitler called them SOCIALISTS) and unaware that Hitler's flag symbol represented "S means SOCIALISM" (a top discovery by the historian Dr. Rex Curry). Lindsay writes as if he is ignorant that Hitler didn't call his flag's symbol a "swastika." When Lindsay was corrected about his "swastika" misnomer, he indicated that he doesn't care, and he intends to misinform everyone with his misnomer "swastika," and to defame the ancient icon, while simultaneously spreading ignorance about Hitler's vocabulary and symbolism. Lindsay demonstrated his ignorance on 11-9-2023 in a post about an old German medallion from the Tag Der Arbeit 1934 medallion (on Elon Musk's "X" social media). Lindsay perpetuates the usual ignorance described above.

Lindsay has declared (on "X" or twitter), "I strongly oppose Communism." Has Lindsay ever said, "I strongly oppose Socialism"? Probably not, in light of his misleading terminology. His vocabulary covers up for socialism.

Lindsay is known for the article "Our Struggle Is My Struggle: Solidarity Feminism as an Intersectional Reply to Neoliberal and Choice Feminism," using the pseudonyms Maria Gonzalez, Ph.D. and Lisa A. Jones, Ph.D. in *Affilia: Journal of Women and Social Work*. The article poked fun of the politically correct because it "transposed Mein Kampf into Woke Feminism." Yet, Mein Kampf uses the word "socialist" copiously to describe Hitler's party, while Lindsay transposed Mein Kampf to REMOVE any reference in Mein Kampf to socialism (within the above-cited article). The article makes it appear that Lindsay covers

up for socialism.

Jeff Riggensbach (January 12, 1947 to January 24, 2021) had many commonalities with other libertarians, including Tom Woods, Lew Rockwell, Jeff Deist, Paul Gottfried, etc. One commonality (and a persistent problem among libertarians as much as among democrats and republicans) was ignorance of the fact that Hitler did not describe his movement with the words "Nazi, Fascist, Third Reich, nor Swastika." Riggensbach didn't know that Hitler's ilk described themselves as "Socialist" (by the very word) in their voluminous speeches and writings.

Riggensbach was schooled by Educational Outreach Programs (EOP) about discoveries from the noted historian Dr. Rex Curry's archives. As far back as 2016 the EOP explained to Riggensbach that Hitler did not use the term "Third Reich" (a popular myth spread by the old media, news, schools, universities, everyone). Riggensbach first learned the truth in public social media posts on January 29, 2016. The thread cites the book "THIRD REICH - Swastikas were "S" letters for "SOCIALIST" - the USA's Pledge of Allegiance was the origin of Hitler salutes & Nazi behavior" by the author Ian Tinny, the Pointer Institute, and Matt Crypto, et al. The book was published on Aug 22, 2015.

Riggensbach's reaction upon being disabused of his lifetime of being lied to by MSM, schools, etc is the very common "Who cares?" or "It's not important." "Who cares if Hitler never gave speeches about his 'Third Reich,' his 'Nazis,' his 'Fascists,' his 'swastika'? It's not important if he always spoke about his 'socialism' and that his flag symbol represented 'socialism' (the name of his party). Who cares if Soviet Socialism joined German socialism to start World War 2, invading Poland together and onward killing millions of people? Who

cares if I never understood any of that and no one ever told me?"

Instead of being angered by all the people who misinformed and miseducated him all of his life, Riggerbach lashes out at the person who disabused him for the first time, late in his life.

His common response allows him to continue his inaccurate use of the language. He can proceed with spreading and reinforcing widespread ignorance, as he did for his whole life. It suggests that he will never share his newfound knowledge with anyone. Riggerbach passed away without ever helping anyone else learn the truth; not his friends, family, not even his own children. He wanted them to go through life with the same ignorance he had endured. The only time Riggerbach helped fight the ignorance was when he was publicly schooled about the topic. That is when he served involuntarily to educate others who watched his schooling.

After that, he persisted in aiding and abetting "Liars For Socialism." The Liars for Socialism who victimized and duped him for his entire life.

2. BROWSER SEARCH TOOLS

Historian Dr. Rex Curry is famous for proving that the flag pledge written by the SOCIALIST Francis Bellamy for socialist (government) schools in the USA was the origin of the notorious stiff-armed salute (& related behavior) adopted later in Germany by Hitler's socialists. Dr. Curry's fame also results from his exposé that Hitler's flag symbol was used to represent "S means Socialism" (the name of Hitler's party).

The above-mentioned facts are difficult to locate and study in some web search engines. Many google search results are dishonest on these topics. The following phrases reveal the deceit of Google searches, which often claim "no results found" for many of the phrases mentioned herein despite the fact that the following phrases have been used for decades in many books and more in support of Dr. Rex Curry's academic discoveries.

Where did the Nazi salute come from? "Bellamy salute produced the Nazi salute"; "Nazi salutes came from military salutes"; "History of Hitler salute"; "Origin of the Hitler salute"; "Where did Hitler salute"; "How the Nazi salute"; "Hitler salutes came from"; "So, where does the fascist 'Sieg Heil' salute come from"; "History of Nazi salute"; "Social history of Nazi salute"; "Weird history of Nazi salute"; "Origin of the Nazi salute"; "Where did Nazi salutes"; "How the Nazi salute".

"Bellamy salute produced the Hitler salute"; "The military salute produced the Hitler

salute"; "military salute led to Hitler's salute"; "military salute led to the Nazi salute"; "military salute led to Nazi salute"; "Nazi salute came from military salute"; "Bellamy salute was origin of Nazi salute"; "military salute was origin of nazi salute"; "military salute was the origin of Hitler's salute"; "What is the origin of what we call the Nazi salute";

"History of Fascist salute"; "Origin of the Fascist salute"; "Where did Fascist salutes"; "How the Fascist salute"; "Saluto Fascista"; "Fascist salutes came from"; "Bellamy salute produced the Fascist salute"; "Origin of the Fascism salute"; "History of Fascism salute"; "Where did Fascism salutes"; "How did Fascism salute" "Fascism salutes came from"; "Bellamy salutes produced the Fascist salute"; "military salutes produced the Fascist salute"; "History of Roman salute"; "Saluto Romano"; "Origin of the Roman salute"; "Where did Roman salute"; "Roman salute came from"; "Bellamy salute produced the Roman salute"; "military salutes produced the Roman salute".

Stupid World is where we live. That is why TV, radio, and print media WILL NOT ever explain Dr. Curry's discovery that the USA's socialist flag pledge spread the stiff-armed salute that was used under the German flag bearing Hitler's socialist symbol.

The Long History Of The Nazi Salute And The USA: "Nazi salutes came from pledge"; "Nazi salute came from pledge"; "Nazi salute came from USA"; "Nazi salutes came from America"; "Nazi salutes came from USA"; "Nazi salute came from America"; "USA was origin of Nazi salutes"; "Nazi salutes originated in the USA"; "Nazi salutes came from the Pledge"; "USA started Nazi salutes"; "America started Nazi salutes"; "Americans did Nazi salutes"; "Nazism came from America"; "Nazism came from USA"; "socialists created Nazi salutes"; "a socialist created Nazi salutes"; "Nazi salutes came from socialism"; "Nazi salutes came from socialists"; "Nazi salutes came from American socialists"; "America

created Nazi salutes”; “Americans popularized Nazi salutes”; “the USA created Nazi salutes”; “American Nazi Salutes”; “America’s Nazi Salute”; “Nazi Salute USA”.

The searches above show the intellectual stagnation of the world in the 21st century. It is more proof that government schools (socialist schools) must end. It proves you are living in Stupid World and in our modern socialist idiocracy. It illustrates the intellectual dishonesty of academia and so-called “historians.” It shows the dedication of “Liars For Socialism” who hide how Hitler self-identified. Socialism is stupid lies by stupid liars.

Web searches for anything like “Nazi Germany never existed” or “the Nazi Party didn’t exist” or “The Third Reich didn’t exist” usually lead to hypothetical questions about how much better the world would have been if “Nazi Germany had never existed.” No one on the internet is smart enough to consider the semantic fact that “Nazi Germany never existed” nor any related revelation. Only Dr. Rex Curry could blaze those web trails (in November 2021 according to searches).

Do web searches for "Hitler never said Third Reich" or "Hitler didn't say Third Reich" or "Hitler didn't use the term Third Reich" or “Hitler banned Third Reich” or “Hitler outlawed Third Reich” or “Hitler forbid Third Reich” or “Third Reich didn’t exist”. The results will indicate that Professor Rex Curry is the only person who has noticed and remarked about how Hitler never said, "Third Reich" and did not refer to his nation as the "Third Reich." (search performed 4-11-2023). Is that not the damndest thing? This is decades after WW2, so how is that possible? In fact, Hitler banned “Third Reich.” How can all other historians and scholars and academia be so stupid?

Also try: “Hitler’s Germany did not self-identify as the Third Reich”; “Hitler did not self-

identify as the Third Reich"; "Third Reich never existed"; "Hitler never called Germany the Third Reich"; "Hitler never called his nation the Third Reich". Hitler never said swastika.

The website Goodreads has a "Political Philosophy and Ethics" discussion board with a "mod" ("moderator") named Alan Johnson (alanejohnson) who was so stupid that he had to delete posts about Dr. Curry's discovery regarding Hitler's non-use of "Third Reich." On September 13, 2015, Johnson wrote idiotically that "Debates, for example, about whether Adolph [sic] Hitler ever used the terms 'Third Reich' or 'Nazi' or 'fascist' - as distinguished from the actual principles and operation of his regime- are more appropriately addressed in groups that are focused on such minute historical issues rather than on political philosophy as such. Posters who persist in raising issues that are outside the scope of the subject matter of this group will also be removed from the group." Johnson removed from the group a poster who explained Dr. Curry's discoveries about Hitler's political philosophy. Johnson had to PREVENT people from discussing the facts. Johnson confirmed that Dr. Curry's discoveries were correct.

"Socialists self-identify the same as Hitler"; "Socialists identify the same way Hitler did"; "Every socialist self-identifies the same as Hitler"; "Socialists share the same political philosophy as Hitler"; "Socialists share the same philosophy as Hitler"; "AOC self-identifies the same as Hitler"; "Bernie Sanders self-identifies the same as Hitler"; "Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez self-identifies the same as Hitler".

"Covering up socialism"; "cover up for socialism"; "cover up socialism"; "coverup for socialism"; "Never say socialist"; "Never say socialism". "cover up for Hitler and his socialism".

"Do not say Nazi"; "Not say Nazi movement"; "Never say Nazi"; "Sozi-Nazi".

“Stop the N-word”; “Abolish the N-word”; “Fight the N-word”.

Dr. Rex Curry changed the way the world sees swastikas. Dr. Curry exposed swastikas. Dr. Curry changed the swastika.

You don't even need quotation marks for these searches: Socialist Swastika; Swastika socialism. Or try these: “Hitler's socialist swastika”; “Lenins swastika”; “communist swastika”; “communism's swastika”; “Marx swastika”; “Marxist swastika”; “Soviet swastika”; “Soviet cross”; “Soviet crucifix”; “S shapes for socialism”; “shapes for socialism”; “S letters for socialism”; “S means socialist”; “S means socialism”; “S is socialism”; “S is socialist”; “S for socialist”; “S for socialism”; “S means socialism”; “S means socialist”; “S equals socialism”; “S equals socialist”; “S is for socialism”; “S is for socialist”.

On 9-12-2022 Google search claimed that there were no results for “socialist symbol of hate”. Ditto for "socialism's symbol of hate" and "socialism's symbols of hate". Try “socialist symbols of hate”; “socialist swastika”;

SOCIALISM was Hitler's flag & his salute came from a USA socialist.

On 4-2-2024, the dominant search engine indicated that there were no results for “Hitler's socialist symbols” (so Dr. Curry's work might soon be the first to provide results). There was a single result for “Hitler's socialist symbolism” which linked to work citing Dr. Curry's scholarship. No results for “Hitler's Socialist Trademarks”; “Hitler's Socialist Icons”; “Hitler's Socialist Hallmarks”; Try “Nazi Socialist Symbols”; “Nazi Socialist Symbolism”. The terms “German socialist symbols” and “German socialist symbolism” did provide results.

“Socialism’s Swastika” (with or without quotation marks, this search is disturbing in that it sometimes appears that Google will deliberately not provide any results consistent with the search but will substitute incorrect results instead). And Wikipedia liars delete all mention of swastikas as alphabetical symbolism.

Search for “swastika alphabetical”; “Schutzstaffel swastika”; “double S Schutzstaffel”; “Sturmabteilung Swastika”; “Volkswagen VW Swastika”; “Swastika Volkswagen”; “swastikologist”; “swastikalogist”; “swastikology”; “swastikalogy”; “Dr. Swastika”; “Doctor Swastika”; “lighthouse of libertarian thought”.

“Swastika and Nazi”; “Nazi and Swastika”; “Swastika Nazi”; “Nazi Swastika” (both words are used to cover up for socialism).

"Tampa Bay Times Newspaper swastika"; "Tampa Bay Times swastika building"; "Tampa Bay swastika"; “Tampa Swastika”; "Saint Petersburg Times Newspaper swastika"; "Saint Petersburg Times swastika"; "Saint Petersburg swastika"; "St. Petersburg Times Newspaper swastika"; "St. Petersburg Times swastika"; "St. Petersburg swastika"; “Saint Pete Swastika”; “St. Pete Swastika”; “Florida Swastika”.

For more dishonest search results try: “Hitler was socialist” or “Hitler was a socialist”. Try it with a misspelling: “Adolph Hitler was socialist” (although some sources assert that Hitler was christened “Adolphus”). “Hitler was commies”; “Hitler was a commie”; “Hitler was Pinko”; “Hitler was a Pinko”.

Stalin helped Hitler. Stalin helped Mao.

Fascinating web searches: compare “Hitler was not socialist” and “Hitler was socialist”; “Nazis were not socialists” and “Nazis were socialists”; “Hitler called himself a socialist”; “Socialists are Nazis”; “Socialists are not Nazis”; “Hitler called himself socialist”; “Hitler

was a self-described socialist" (Wokies ALWAYS call me a Nazi for knowing this). "Hitler was a self-proclaimed socialist"; "Hitler described himself as a socialist"; "they self-identify the same as Hitler"; "Hitler's Socialist Revolution"; "Hitler advocated socialism"; "Hitler touted socialism"; "Hitler promoted socialism"; "Socialists don't want you to know that they self-identify the same as Hitler"; And that's why we drink.

"Hitler's Socialists"; "Hitler's Reds"; "Hitler's Red Guard"; "Hitler's comrades"; "Hitler's fellow travelers".

"Hitler and Stalin self-identified as socialist"; "Stalin and Hitler self-identified as socialist"; "Hitler and Stalin called themselves socialist"; "Stalin and Hitler called themselves socialist"; "Nazis self-identified as socialists"; "Nazis called themselves socialists"; "Fascists self-identified as socialists"; "Fascists called themselves socialists"; "self identify as socialist"; "Hitler the Socialist".

If Hitler had self-identified as "Nazi" or "Fascist", would you want to self-identify that way? Did the Nazis ever refer to themselves as "fascists"?

Joseph Goebbels never self-identified as Nazi-Sozi. "Nazi-Sozi never appears within the interior text"; "Nazi-Sozi doesn't appear"; "Nazi-Sozi does not"; "Nazi-Sozi doesn't exist"; "Nazi-Sozi isn't"; "Nazi-Sozi is not"; "Goebbels never said Nazi-Sozi"; "never wrote Nazi-Sozi"; Other fun web searches are "Sozi-Nazi" and "Hakenkenkreuzlers" and "Young Peoples Group of the Hakenkreuzlers."

"Jewish Soviet Star"; "Jewish Communist Star"; "Jewish Socialist Star" (a web search in October 2023 indicated no results for "Jewish Socialist Star" suggesting that Dr. Curry's research will provide the first web result for that phrase).

"Hitler was not fascist"; "Hitler did not call himself fascist"; "Hitler identified as socialist";

“Fascists are Socialists”; “Fascism is Socialism”; “Socialists are Fascists”; “Socialism is Fascism”; “Hitler described himself as socialist”;

Web searches show many articles that claim: “Hitler was not socialist” or “Nazis were not socialists”; “Hitler wasn’t socialist”; “Nazis weren’t socialists”. Those articles are all authored by people who self-identify the same as Adolf Hitler (and they are very uncomfortable about it). Their posts always concede that: “their name did include the word socialist” or “it included the word socialist” or “it has the word socialist in it.” Their concession is designed as a lie to imply that there is NO OTHER EVIDENCE that Hitler and “Nazis” were socialists. For 25 years Hitler touted “socialism” and “socialists” by the very word over and over and over again.

Every author of “Nazis weren’t socialists” articles displays these GLARING omissions: (1) Hitler’s group did not self-identify as “Nazis” (most authors are ignoramuses in that regard. A tiny few just can’t bear to state the truth and they don’t want anyone else to learn it); and (2) Hitler and “Nazis” self-identified as “socialists” by the very word in massive speeches and writings. None of them disputes Dr. Curry’s academic work regarding (1) and (2) above.

All of the writers want readers to believe (falsely) that Hitler and his supporters referred to each other as “Nazis” exclusively or often and displayed that weasel N-word on pins, badges, banners, and flags. None of them mention that Soviet socialists joined German socialists to start WWII and onward. It’s amusing mental acrobatics.

It is the falsification of history. For example, see Ronald J. Granieri (in the Washington Post). Granieri concedes reluctantly that socialism was a very important part of the NSGWP appeal (right near the top of all elements in their appeal). Granieri makes this

astounding declaration: “Did you know that ‘Nazi’ is short for ‘National Socialist’? That means that Hitler and his henchmen were all socialists. Bernie Sanders calls himself a socialist, too. That means Bernie Sanders and his supporters are the same as Nazis ... doesn’t it?” Of course, it also means that Granieri is a common ignoramus who asks “Did you know that ‘Nazi’ is short for ‘National Socialist’” without knowing that “Nazi” isn’t how Hitler’s ilk self-identified. They self-identified the same as Bernie Sanders.

Also see the hilarious example by Thomas Vorreyer, another common ignoramus. Vorreyer falsely believes that “In 1934, American writer Theodore Abel created a fake competition to trick hundreds of people into describing why they loved the Nazi Party so much.” Vorreyer didn’t know that NO ONE described why they loved the “Nazi Party” so much. And Abel did not “trick” anyone into doing that when he specified the rules for his contest. The title of the Vice article is “Nazis Explain Why They Became Nazis” even though none of them self-identified as “Nazis” nor explained why they became “Nazis.” In his article, Vorreyer has no inkling of what Abel’s written evidence said. Vorreyer cannot provide lengthy quotes as such excerpts would expose Vorreyer’s stupidity (or his deliberate dishonesty?). Vorreyer knows that if he re-wrote the article with quotes of the same Germans explaining why they supported “socialism” by the very word, then Vice would not print it. Vice will only print lies about this topic. The article had to be lies for Vice to publish it. Vice will not provide a retraction or correction in this regard. Vorreyer will never take any corrective action and he will never ask Vice to take any corrective action.

Other writers are not as dishonest as the Vorreyer/Vice article, and the results are hilarious. Some lectures on YouTube begin with an academic referring to Hitler as a “Nazi” and/or “Fascist” and then the academic witlessly reads a Hitler quote in which the German

Chancellor self-identified as a “socialist” (within the quote). The contradiction goes right over the lecturer’s head. The first time that the word “socialist” pops up in such dissertations is from Hitler’s mouth, when the lecturer repeats Hitler’s words. The ONLY times that “socialism” appears in such lectures is from Hitler’s own words describing his own dogma. The lecturer keeps saying “Nazi” and “Fascist” and Hitler keeps saying “Socialist.” It is embarrassing comedy gold.

Other lecturers match the intellectual dishonesty of the Vorreyer/Vice article: They will never display a quote of Hitler in which he self-identifies as a “socialist.” They will never quote "Triumph of the Will" wherein Hitler is lauded as the "epitome of altruism" and his fellow socialists refer to each other as "comrades" who will cause a "revolution of the people and workers" to end "class struggle" and create "egalitarianism." Today’s lecturers are frustrated because there are no quotes of Hitler in which he self-identifies as a “Nazi” or “Fascist.” Deceitful lecturers just keep repeating “Nazi” and “Fascist” over and over. Sophisticated listeners roll their eyes.

A web search (on G) claimed that there were no results for the query “International Socialist German Workers Party” (on 9-10-2022). There were three useless results for “International Socialist German”.

More fascinating web searches: “Hitler called himself a socialist”; “Socialists are Nazis”; “Socialists are not Nazis”; “Socialists are Fascists”; “Socialism is Fascism”; “Fascists are Socialists”; “Fascism is Socialism”; “Hitler called himself socialist”; “Was Hitler socialist?”; “Were Nazis socialist?” (Why not ask them?).

“If Hitler called himself Nazi or Fascist would you want to call yourself Nazi or Fascist?”; “If Hitler self-identified as Nazi or Fascist would you want to self-identify as Nazi” or

Fascist?"; "If Hitler self-identified as Fascist"; "If Hitler had self-identified as Nazi"; "If Hitler had self-identified as Fascist"; "If Hitler had called himself"; "If Hitler had called his group"; A search of the web indicated NO results ("no results" was an absurdly inaccurate result) for the following items: "Hitler called himself Fascist"; "Hitler called himself Nazi"; and NO results of course for "If Hitler called himself Fascist" or "If Hitler called himself Nazi"; "If Hitler said he was nazi"; "If Hitler said he was fascist" (the preceding searches were performed on 1/9/2024 using the dominant search engine). A search for "If Hitler self-identified as Nazi" revealed only results concerning Dr. Rex Curry's discoveries.

These are secret topics on the interwebs: "Hitler's Language"; "Hitler's Diction"; "Hitler's Phraseology"; "Hitler's Speech"; "Hitler's Wording"; "Hitler's Dictionary"; "Hitler's Thesaurus"; "Hitler's Lexicon"; "Hitler's Vocabulary"; "Hitler's Dialect"; "Hitler's Etymology"; "Hitler's glossary"; "Hitler's linguistic habits"; "Hitler's rhetoric"; "Hitler's terminology"; "Hitler's jargon". Then substitute the word "Nazi" for "Hitler." As in: "Nazi Dictionary"; "Nazi Thesaurus"; "Nazi Lexicon"; "Nazi language"; "Nazi Vocabulary"; "Nazi Dialect"; "Nazi Etymology"; "Nazi glossary"; "Nazi linguistic habits"; "Nazi rhetoric"; "Nazi terminology"; "Nazi jargon". Then substitute the word "Fascist" for "Hitler." Such as: "Fascist Dictionary"; "Fascist Thesaurus"; "Fascist Lexicon"; "Fascist Vocabulary"; "Fascist Dialect"; "Fascist Etymology"; "Fascist glossary"; "Fascist linguistic habits"; "Fascist rhetoric"; "Fascist terminology"; "Fascist jargon".

A "Glossary of Nazi Germany" was maintained by Wikipedia Liars at the time this was written. I am not making this up. Wikipedia's glossary didn't mention that "Nazi Germany" was not a term used by Hitler. The glossary has a lot of unintended laughs in it that the Wikipedia Liars were too ignorant to comprehend. The article stated: "Some words were

coined by Adolf Hitler and other Nazi Party members.” Seriously, it says that. Wikipedia never explains that there was no “Nazi Party,” and Hitler and the “members” didn’t use that term. Wikipedia is trying to erase the actual name of the party. They don’t want you to know.

Google claimed (on 10-24-2021) that there were “no results found” in a search (with quotation marks) for "Hitler never self-identified as a Nazi"; "Hitler never said the word Nazi"; “Hitler never said Nazi”; “Hitler did not say Nazi”; and “Nazi isn’t in Mein Kampf”. On 10-24-2021, Google claimed that in a search (with quotation marks) for "Hitler did not self-identify as a Nazi" there were only four results and they were all references to the work of the libertarian pedagogue Dr. Rex Curry (there were similar results for “Hitler did not call himself a Nazi”). “Hitler never said he was a Nazi”; “Hitler never said he was a Fascist”; “Hitler didn’t call himself a Fascist”; “Hitler didn’t call himself a Nazi”. A similar lack of results occurred for "Hitler did not identify as a Nazi"; "Hitler never identified as a Nazi"; “Hitler did not call himself a Fascist” (in August 2023 an internet web search indicated that there were only three results for this inquiry and they all pointed to the work of Dr. Rex Curry. AMAZING! MSM is so intellectually dishonest).

Socialists refuse to answer this question: When did you learn that Hitler self-identified as a socialist? They live the lie that they never heard it. Socialists have nothing but lies. More searches: When did you first learn that Hitler self-identified as a socialist? A search on 7-1-2024 (for “first learn that Hitler”) revealed only three results and only one of those results was related to the subject matter here (and pointed to Dr. Curry’s work). When did you learn that Hitler called his group socialist? When did you first learn Hitler called himself socialist? A search on 7-1-2024 (for “first learn Hitler”) revealed no results.

How did you learn that Hitler self-identified as a socialist? How did you first learn Hitler described himself as a socialist? How did you learn that Hitler called his group socialist? How did you learn that Hitler called himself socialist? There is a good chance that anyone who answers those questions will say, "from Educational Outreach Programs about Dr. Rex Curry's work!"

How did you learn that Hitler didn't self-identify as a Nazi or Fascist? How did you first learn that Hitler didn't self-identify as Nazi nor Fascist? How did you learn that Hitler didn't call his group Nazi or Fascist? How did you learn that Hitler did not call himself Nazi nor Fascist?

It is astonishing that there are almost no web search results for inquiries like: "Hitler did not call himself a Fascist" and "Hitler did not self-identify as a Nazi". We expect that MSM would produce no results because they are brainwashed, stupid, and "Liars For Socialism." But why are there no results for any so-called Republicans, Libertarians, and Conservatives? Why do radio talk show hosts not make such statements and produce web hits? Why has no one on Fox News said such things and produced web hits? Shouldn't they say such things often? What is going on?

That is some great brainwashing of Fox News, radio talk show hosts, and so-called Republicans, Libertarians, and Conservatives. In fact, when you tell them about this topic, they seem to become angry. This helps explain why we are so screwed: the people who should be saying these things become annoyed when these things are said by someone else.

Google reported (on 9-14-2023) that there were four results in a search for "Francisco Franco didn't self-identify as Fascist" (with quotation marks) and all of the results pointed

to the work of the libertarian lecturer Rex Curry. Also see "Franco never self-identified as a Fascist"; "Franco did not self-identify as a Fascist"; "Franco did not call himself a Fascist"; "Franco never said he was a Fascist"; "Franco didn't call himself a Fascist". A similar lack of results occurred for "Franco did not identify as a Fascist"; "Franco never identified as a Fascist"; "Franco did not call himself a Fascist". So-called "scholars" will go on and on about the Spanish Civil War between the "Fascists" and the "Communists" but they will never mention that, shortly after the war ended, Soviet socialism joined German socialism to launch WWII in a conspiracy for world socialism.

"There was no Nazi Party"; "Adolf Hitler's party was not the Nazi Party"; "Nazi Party did not exist"; "Nazi Party didn't exist"; "Nazi Party never existed"; "Nazi Party is slang"; "Nazis did not call themselves Nazis"; "Nazis didn't call themselves Nazis".

Next, substitute the word "Fascist" for "Nazi" in the searches. "Hitler never self-identified as Fascist"; "Hitler did not self-identify as Fascist"; "Hitler did not call himself a Fascist"; "Hitler did not identify as a Fascist"; "Hitler never identified as a Fascist". "Nazi Fascist"; "Fascist Nazi"; "Nazi Fascists"; "Fascist Nazis".

Then, for laughs, try these: "Hitler never said he was a socialist"; "Hitler didn't call himself a socialist"; "Hitler did not call himself a socialist"; "Hitler never self-identified as Socialist"; "Hitler did not self-identify as Socialist"; "Hitler did not identify as a Socialist"; "Hitler never identified as a Socialist"; "Hitler wasn't a Nazi"; "Hitler wasn't a Fascist"; "Hitler was not a Nazi"; "Hitler was not a Fascist". The top stupid web search engine will insert "helpful" additions to click on for more info such as "What are the features of Nazism?"

"There was no Nazi Germany"; "Nazi Germany did not exist"; "Nazi Germany didn't

exist”; “Nazi Germany never existed”; “Nazi Germany is slang”;

The web lacks these truths: “A socialist created communism” and “A socialist created fascism” (that observation was first made by the World’s Historian Laureate Dr. Rex Curry according to web searches for the quoted remarks); “A socialist started fascism”; “A socialist started communism”; “A socialist invented fascism”; “A socialist invented communism”; “fascism was started by a socialist”; “communism was started by a socialist”; “fascism was created by a socialist”; “communism was created by a socialist”; “Communism and Fascism were created by socialists”; “Fascism and Communism were created by socialists”; “Socialists created Communism and Fascism”; “Fascism came from socialism”; “Communism came from socialism”; “Fascism and Communism came from socialists”; “Fascism and Communism came from socialism”; “Communism and fascism came from socialists”; “Communism and fascism came from socialism”.

“Socialists ruin everything”; “Socialism ruins everything”; “Marx ruins everything”; “Marxists ruin everything”; “Marxism ruins everything”; “Communists ruin everything”; “Communism ruins everything”;

“No results” were found in web searches (when they were originally searched) for the following two phrases searched separately: “Communism came from a socialist” and “Fascism came from a socialist”. It is astonishing to report that the original searches were performed in late 2021. The esotericist Dr. Rex Curry is credited as the first historiographer to place the phrases on the web (e.g. by informing the author of this book). Dr. Rex Curry broke the internet.

How long did the Molotov-Ribbentrop pact last? “Molotov-Ribbentrop lasted”; “Molotov-Ribbentrop continued for”;

Wholecaust; 535-07-5248; "Socialist Holocaust"; "Stalin Mao Hitler"; "surveillance socialism"; "Socialists killing socialists is trademark socialism"; "Socialists kill socialists"; "Purging socialists is bona fide socialism"; "Killing socialists is the primary sign of socialism"; "communists kill communists"; "communists killing communists is trademark communism"; "socialism is theft"; "socialists are thieves"; "socialism is violence"; "socialism is hate"; "socialism is a hate crime".

"Socialists abuse plural pronouns"; "Socialists overuse plural pronouns"; "Socialists misuse plural pronouns".

On 9-13-2022 Google claimed in a web search that there were no results for "Kennedy was killed by a socialist" (that means that this book might be the first to appear in such a search in the future); Google claimed that there were five results for "Kennedy was killed by a Marxist"; Google claimed that there were 38,000 results for "Kennedy was killed by a communist" and yet the number of pages displayed at the bottom numbered only four pages, and when page 2 was clicked, the other four pages disappeared and only one page existed (and the "results" count had suddenly changed to ten results), which meant that Google only displayed ten results for the search "Kennedy was killed by a communist". Do all of these web searches indicate that Google is a big part of "Liars for Socialism"?

John F. Kennedy. A Socialist Killed Kennedy; Socialism killed Kennedy; Kennedy was killed by socialism; A Marxist Killed Kennedy; A Communist Killed Kennedy. A Socialist assassinated Kennedy; A Marxist assassinated Kennedy; A Communist assassinated Kennedy; A socialist murdered Kennedy; A Marxist murdered Kennedy; A Communist murdered Kennedy. Kennedy was killed by a socialist; Kennedy was killed by a Marxist;

Kennedy was killed by a communist.

More web searches: "Stalin, Mao, and Hitler"; "Stalin, Mao, Hitler"; "Stalin, Mao, Hitler, Pol Pot"; "Stalin, Hitler, Mao"; "Mao, Stalin, Hitler"; "Mao, Hitler, Stalin"; "Hitler, Stalin, Mao"; "Hitler, Mao, Stalin".

Google claimed (on 11-08-2021) that there were "no results found" in a search (with quotation marks) for "swastika isn't in Mein Kampf" or "swastika is not in Mein Kampf". The preceding search of 11-08-2021 was repeated on 5-17-2024 and the results were all references to Dr. Curry's work. Also try "The word nazi is not in Mein Kampf nor in Triumph of the Will"; "fascism isn't in Mein Kampf" (as a self-reference by Hitler). A web search revealed in May 2024 that the only results for these searches were references to Dr. Curry's work: "Nazi is not in Mein Kampf" and "Fascist is not in Mein Kampf".

More web searches: "Non-Gilded Age"; "Socialism's Non-Gilded Age".

In 2004, academic investigators supplemented Dr. Rex Curry's archives with the following searches. At that time the analysis indicated that the website archiving Dr. Curry's work was the first website to use the phrases below in the ongoing campaign to end the socialist salute to the flag and to end the socialist's pledge of allegiance. The research revealed that NONE of the phrases appears on the web or in google news groups. Are the search results more evidence that libertarian traits of individualism and defiance of government are near zero, compared with socialist traits of regimentation and submission to government (e.g. collective saluting and pledging in government/socialized schools)?

The numbers to the right give the web results first, group results second. "Students should stop saluting" the flag (0, 0); "Children should stop saluting" the flag (0, 0);

"Everyone should stop saluting" the flag (0, 0); "People should stop saluting" the flag (0, 0); "Americans should stop saluting" the flag (0, 0); "America should stop saluting" the flag (0, 0).

"Students should stop pledging" allegiance to the flag (0, 0); "Children should stop pledging" allegiance to the flag (0, 0); "Everyone should stop pledging" allegiance to the flag (0, 0); "People should stop pledging" allegiance to the flag (0, 0); "Americans should stop pledging" allegiance to the flag (0, 0); "America should stop pledging" allegiance to the flag (0, 0).

"Students should stop reciting" the pledge of allegiance to the flag (0, 0); "Children should stop reciting" the pledge of allegiance to the flag (0, 0); "Everyone should stop reciting" the pledge of allegiance to the flag (0, 0); "People should stop reciting" the pledge of allegiance to the flag (0, 0); "Americans should stop reciting" the pledge of allegiance to the flag (0, 0); "America should stop reciting" the pledge of allegiance to the flag (0, 0).

The 2004 analysis revealed a few results for some of the following phrases, though only one result involves advocating an end to the salute and pledge (yet it advocates an alternative salute and pledge). "We should stop saluting" the flag (1, 3); "We should stop pledging" allegiance to the flag (1, 3); "We should stop reciting" the pledge of allegiance to the flag (1, 1).

The following searches explored whether opposition to the salute and pledge ever mentioned its socialist origin and meaning. In the following searches (from 2004), a quoted part was included in the search with the word "socialist" or "socialism". Wherever a "0" or small number appears it means that the site archiving Dr. Curry's research was the first or one of the first to use the phrase on the web or groups. "Stop the salute"

socialist (0, 0); "end the salute" socialist (0, 0) (not on topic); "end the pledge of allegiance" socialist (2, 0); "Stop the pledge" socialist (3, 2); "end the pledge" socialist (5, 11); "Don't pledge allegiance to the flag" socialism (1, 0); "Don't pledge allegiance" socialism (7, 1); "Don't salute the flag" socialism (0, 10); "Don't recite the pledge" socialism (2, 0); "Don't recite the pledge of allegiance" socialism (1, 0); "Don't pledge allegiance to the flag" socialist (0, 0); "Don't pledge allegiance" socialist (10, 2); "Don't salute the flag" socialist (2, 0); "Don't recite the pledge" socialist (6, 2); "Don't recite the pledge of allegiance" socialist (1, 0).

The following phrases (and some of those above) provide search results that are astonishing in that they show how these phrases can be used in so many ways that DO NOT advocate ending the salute and pledge. Though each result (from 2004) was not checked, there were quick reviews that seem to indicate these phrases are used as parts of sentences that defend and support the salute and pledge. "End the flag salute" (0, 1); "end the salute to the flag" (0, 0); "stop the salute" (2, 0); "end the salute" (30, 0); "stop saluting the flag" (6, 9); "stop saying the pledge" (58, 18); "end the pledge of allegiance" (31, 11); "stop the pledge" (53, 82); "end the pledge" (156, 177); "Don't pledge allegiance to the flag" (27, 31); "Don't pledge allegiance" (222, 470); "Don't salute the flag" (114, 103); "Don't recite the pledge" (59, 59); "Don't recite the pledge of allegiance" (8, 14).

Regarding the phrases below (from 2004): Wherever a "0" or small number appears it means that the site that archives Dr. Curry's research was the first or one of the first to use both concepts together on the web or in groups. In fact, in nearly every instance the archive site was the first to use the phrase to advocate ending the pledge and salute (because almost every prior instance of use was as a sentence fragment **advocating** the

socialist pledge and salute). The following phrases (and some of those above) give search results that are astonishing in that they show how these phrases can be used in so many ways that DO NOT advocate ending the salute and pledge. "Students should not salute" the flag (1, 0); "Children should not salute" the flag (1, 0); "No one should salute" the flag (1, 0); "People should not salute" the flag (0, 0); "Americans should not salute" the flag (0, 0); "America should not salute" the flag (0, 0); "Students should not pledge" allegiance to the flag (0, 0); "Children should not pledge " allegiance to the flag (1, 0); "No one should pledge" allegiance to the flag (10, 0); "People should not pledge" allegiance to the flag (1, 0); "Americans should not pledge" allegiance to the flag (0, 0); "America should not pledge" allegiance to the flag (1, 0); "Students should not recite" the pledge of allegiance to the flag (1, 1); "Children should not recite" the pledge of allegiance to the flag (4, 0); "No one should recite" the pledge of allegiance to the flag (19, 2); "People should not recite" the pledge of allegiance to the flag (2, 2); "Americans should not recite" the pledge of allegiance to the flag (0, 0); "America should not recite" the pledge of allegiance to the flag (0, 0).

"If I don't do it, no one will do it; If I don't talk about it, no one will; If I don't write about it, no one will," – the educator Dr. Rex Curry (commenting on why he exposed the Pledge of Allegiance, the Hakenkreuz symbol, and Hitler's glossary).

These web searches should not include the parenthesis: ("pledge of allegiance" "Nazi salute") or ("pledge of allegiance" "Hitler salute") or (Bellamy "nazi salute") or (Bellamy "Hitler salute") or ("national socialist" Bellamy) or ("national socialism" bellamy).

"Stop the Pledge of Allegiance"; "Don't do the Pledge of Allegiance"; "Don't recite the Pledge of Allegiance"; and "Stop Kissing the Government's Ass".

Research archives in preparation of this book's publication began long ago. In 2003, a web search for "socialist crimes against humanity" revealed only 45 results on the web, and 30 results on newsgroups. Most of the results were actually the phrase "national socialist crimes against humanity" (referencing Germany alone). Almost none of the results concerned the phrase "socialist crimes against humanity" without the word "national" preceding it (compare the search: "socialist crimes against humanity" -national). Dr. Rex Curry's work resulted in one of the first websites to use the phrase "socialist crimes against humanity" without the word "national" preceding "socialist." The world-renowned historian was the first to expose this bias in the media and the general population as evidenced in web searches. Try "Socialism's crimes against humanity".

"Crimes of Socialism"; "Socialist crimes"; "The Crimes of Communism"; "Communist crimes".

"Socialism is murder"; "socialists are murderers"; "Socialism is suicide"; "socialism is genocide" (this search suggests that Google has become inaccurate as a search engine). "Socialism is self-destruction"; "socialist apocalypse".

"Socialism is depression"; "Socialism causes depression".

In 2003, a web search for "socialist holocaust" revealed only 28 hits on the web, 44 on newsgroups. Some of those results were preceded by the word "national" for the actual phrase "national socialist holocaust" -ignoring the much larger holocaust of Soviet socialism and Chinese socialism. That difference can be compared with a web search for ("socialist holocaust" -national) and do not include the parentheses. In comparison, a web search for "nazi holocaust" revealed over 27,000 hits on the web in 2003, and over 14,000 on newsgroups. Also compare the search "socialist genocide."

“Female Holocaust”; “Female genocide”; “Female infanticide”; Gendercide; “Sex Holocaust”; “Sex genocide”; “blacks lynch whites more”; “blacks lynch blacks more”.

“Hitler was a Communist”; “Communist Hitler”; “Was Hitler a Marxist?” “Hitler was a Marxist”; “Hitler was Marxist”; “Marxist Hitler”; “Hitler’s Marxism”; “National Communism”; “Neo-communist”; “Neo-Nazi”; “National Marxism”; “Nazis were Communists”; “Marxists are Nazis and Nazis are Marxists”

“Socialism’s Holodomor”; “Holocausts and Holodomors”; “Holodomors and Holocausts”; “Holocaust and Holodomor”; “Holodomor and Holocaust”; then try adding the word “socialist” and/or “socialism” to the searches. Try “the socialist Holodomor Holocaust.” “Marxist Holocaust”; “Lenin’s Holocaust”; “Stalin’s Holocaust”; “Russia Holocaust”; “Communist Holocaust”; “Mao’s Holocaust”; “Kim’s Holocaust”; “North Korea Holocaust”; “Pol Pot’s Holocaust”; “Cambodian Holocaust”; “Ethnic Holocaust”.

“Socialism’s genocide”; “Marxist Genocide”; “Lenin’s Genocide”; “Stalin’s Genocide”; “Soviet Genocide”; “Russia Genocide”; “Communist Genocide”; “Mao’s Genocide”; “Kim’s Genocide”; “North Korea Genocide”; “Pol Pot’s Genocide”; “Cambodian Genocide”; “Ethnic Genocide”.

“Socialism’s famine”; “Marxist famine”; “Lenin’s famine”; “Stalin’s famine”; “Mao’s famine”; “Kim’s famine”; “North Korea famine”; “Pol Pot’s famine”; “Cambodian famine”; “Ethnic famine”; “Kazakh famine” (or “Goloshchekin genocide” under Filipp Goloshchekin).

More fun web searches and Rexisms: “Malthusian Socialism”; “Socialism lets people starve to death in the streets”; “Socialists let people starve to death in the street”; “Socialism let people starve to death in the street”; “Socialists literally let people starve to

death in the streets,” (– Dr. Rex Curry).

In 2023 there appeared to be no examples of Stalin being referred to as “the Nazi Stalin” nor merely “Nazi Stalin” except as a reference to work by Dr. Curry. There were examples of the word Nazi next to the word Stalin, but not as an adjective or title.

It is hard to believe that in early 2022 a web search produced about four results for “Marx influenced Hitler” or “Marx inspired Hitler”. That shows remarkable intellectual dishonesty (socialist schools are very effective). Everyone else’s name comes up in the overflowing search results for “Hitler was influenced by”. Just not Marx. The search “Hitler was influenced by” appears to have its own special results format in Google (formatted to NOT mention Marx?).

“Marx hated Jews”; “Marx was antisemitic”; “Marx was a Nazi”; “Nazi Marx”; “Marx was a fascist”; “Fascist Marx”; “inwardly circumcised Jews”; “antisemitic socialism”; “antisemitic socialist”; “antisemitic communism”; “antisemitic communist”; “antisemitic Marxism”;

“Are you a Not-See?”; “All historians did not see”; “Every other historian did not see”; “All other historians did not see”; “All Historians cannot see”; “All Historians can not see”; “Do You Not See?”; “Can You Not See?”; “Will You Not See?”; “Should You Not See?”; “How Do You Not See?”; “R U A Not See?”; “Are You A Not See?”; “I’m Not A Not See”; “I’m A Not See”; Are you a Not-C?

“Never let a cop get behind you and if one does, turn”; “Never let a police officer get behind you”; “Don’t let a cop get behind you”.

The archives of the historian Dr. Rex Curry’s work go back at least to 2003, including

his discoveries regarding swastika symbology, and gestures for the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. Yet in the time that has passed, Wikipedia Nazis and other social media sites and MSM liars have maintained their same falsehoods and omissions in order to cover up for Adolf Hitler and how he self-identified: socialist. They are very slow learners. And dedicated liars. Wikipedia's benighted readers will always view the swastika as a four-armed question mark.

The following Wikipedia URL shows why "NEVER CITE WIKIPEDIA" and "DO NOT USE WIKIPEDIA" are warnings at schools, colleges and news media. Web searches for the phrases provide many examples. Rather than a scholarly source, wikipedia is an anonymous bulletin board where anyone can delete or post anything.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Talk%3ANazi_Party%2FArchive_6?

"Crony socialism" (in quotes) in a web search provided the top result as a Wikipedia article on "crony capitalism" (that is disgusting suppression of the desired web search results). All the "related searches" suggested by Wikipedia had to do with "crony capitalism". There is no page for "Crony Socialism" and a search on Wikipedia for "crony socialism" REDIRECTS to the page for "Crony Capitalism" (confirming Wikipedia's "fake news" status). Here is the lead quote from Wikipedia Nazis: "The socialist position is that crony capitalism is the inevitable result of any strictly capitalist system and thus broadly democratic government must regulate economic, or wealthy, interests to restrict monopoly." Notice how the word "democratic" is used as a synonym for "socialism" (Wikipedia uses "democratic" to cover up "socialism" and its corruption that makes the poor poorer). Here is a more accurate re-write of Wikipedia: "The capitalist position is

that crony socialism is the inevitable result of any socialist system and thus free markets must exist to prevent socialist monopoly (healthcare, post office, guns, schools, food, clothing, shelter, or all goods and services)." Socialism creates monopolies.

There is no "crony capitalism." Only socialism. The term "crony capitalism" is used by socialists to disparage capitalism and to imply that socialism is a preferable alternative. One might say "crony socialism" but that would be repetitive, according to Doctor Capitalism. It is intriguing that so few people have ever seen the term "crony socialism" or thought of it. The linguistic conditioning or brainwashing is strong.

The words "communism" and "fascism" are used by history teachers to cover up the crimes of socialism and socialists.

"Democracy" is used by socialists to cover-up for socialism.

Do a web search for "Dr. Capitalism" and "Doctor Capitalism".

Based on all the socialist crapaganda that you have heard all your life, isn't the following amazing? Hitler never said, "I hate socialists"; Hitler never said, "I hate socialism"; Hitler never said, "I am a Nazi"; Hitler never said, "I am a Fascist"; Hitler never referred to Germany as the "Third Reich".

Socialist liars want everyone to believe the BIG LIE: that Hitler and his supporters referred to themselves as "Nazis" and "the Nazi Party" and "Nazi Germany" through voluminous speeches and writings in which they glorified "Nazism." Socialists go through their entire lives without ever telling anyone anything different. Their goal is to preserve socialism's biggest lie. Their mission is falsehood and ignorance.

Socialists are liars. Socialism is lies. Liars for socialism want instructors to teach students that Hitler and his ilk called themselves "Nazis" and "Fascists." It might be the

biggest socialist lie.

We live in Liar World. That is why TV, radio, and print media CANNOT ever tell the truth about Dr. Curry's discovery that the USA's socialist flag pledge spread the stiff-armed salute that was used under the German flag bearing Hitler's symbol of socialism. Socialists have to lie. We live in a world of lies.

